

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

**CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,
State Information Commissioner.**

Appeal No.80/SIC/2016

Mrs. Veena Kakodkar,
R/o. Shyam, Kakoda, Cuchorem Goa..... Appellant.

V/s.

- 1.Public Information Officer,
Directorate of Education, Porvorim-Goa.
2. Deputy Director of Education (Plg.),
First Appellate Authority,
Directorate of Education Porvorim Goa....Respondents.

Appeal filed on: 06/05/2016

Decided on: 6/02/2017

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Ms. Veena Kakodkar, herein by her application dated 23/11/15 filed under section 6(1) of Right to Information Act 2005 (herein after for short the 'Act') sought certain information and inspection of the file as stated therein in the said application from the Respondent No. 1 Public Information Officer (PIO) Director of Education, Porvorim-Goa.
2. The said application was not Responded by Respondent No. 1 PIO within time and as such deeming the same as refusal, the appellant filed 1st appeal to the Respondent No. 2, Dy. Director of Education (Plg) on 22/01/2016. The Respondent No. 2 First Appellate Authority (FAA) did not entertain the first appeal and vide their letter dated 8/02/2016 returned the memo of appeal to the Appellant on the ground that it was incomplete.
3. Being aggrieved by the action of both the Respondents Appellant landed before this Commission in the second appeal under section 19(3) of the Right to Information Act.

4. Notice were issued to the parties, pursuant to which Appellant was present alongwith Advocate S. Sonnak. Respondent No. 1 Shri Ishwar Patil was present and Respondent No. 2 was represented by Dayanand Chawdikar.
5. The Respondent No. 1 PIO on 5/01/2017 had filed the reply to the appeal, interalia submitting that the FAA had passed order on 17/05/2016 directing the Respondent No. 1 PIO to give inspection of the file within 10 days and accordingly in compliance to the said order the due inspection of the files were given to the appellant and the information was also collected by the Appellant by paying Rs. 80/-.
6. In addition to the said reply Respondent No. 1 PIO also furnished the information relating to point No. 9 (a) to 9(e). It was further submitted by PIO that vide their letter No. Acad/R.T.I.2009-10/67 dated 16/01/2017 addressed to the PIO, Sarvodaya Education Society High School Curchorem Quepem Goa, They have also informed the incharge to furnish the information. However till date the same has not been furnished by the PIO of Sarvodaya High School to them.
7. During the subsequent date of hearing Appellant then submitted that due inspection of documents have been carried out by her and she is satisfied with the information provided to her and as such she has got no any grievance against the Respondents. As such not pressing for penalty/compensation and other relief as against both Respondents. Accordingly she endorsed her say on the last page of memo of Appeal.
8. In view of the submission of the appellant and the endorsement made by her on the memo of appeal nothing survives to be decided in the present Appeal.
9. The Appeal disposed accordingly.

Proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-
(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa